

# National Judicial Academy

**P-1131: National Judicial Conference for Newly Elevated High Court Justices**

16<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2018

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Assistant Professor

**No. of Participants** : 20

**No. of forms received** : 20

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>95.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	-	2. We got a perspective
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>78.95</b>	<b>21.05</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>90.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	-	2. We could ask questions freely
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>73.68</b>	<b>26.32</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>77.78</b>	<b>22.22</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>84.21</b>	<b>15.79</b>	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>89.47</b>	<b>10.53</b>	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>38.89</b>	<b>38.89</b>	<b>22.22</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>63.16</b>	<b>36.84</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	<b>33.33</b>	<b>66.67</b>	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	<b>61.11</b>	<b>27.78</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>65.00</b>	<b>35.00</b>	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>27.27</b>	<b>54.55</b>	<b>18.18</b>	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>81.25</b>	<b>18.75</b>	<b>70.00</b>	<b>30.00</b>
2	<b>78.57</b>	<b>21.43</b>	<b>62.50</b>	<b>37.50</b>
3	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>37.50</b>	<b>62.50</b>
4	<b>73.33</b>	<b>26.67</b>	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>
5	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>55.56</b>	<b>44.44</b>
6	<b>71.43</b>	<b>28.57</b>	<b>62.50</b>	<b>37.50</b>
7	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>55.56</b>	<b>44.44</b>
8	<b>68.75</b>	<b>31.25</b>	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>90.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	-	14. It is bulky.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	-	-

### VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. To effectively discharge my duties as a judge; 2. Updated me; 3. Given me a clear vision on the subject; 4. Given me some tools and techniques.</p> <p>2 Good effective suggestions on art of hearing; Great insights into the history of development of the idea of basic structure. Got clarity on many issues.</p> <p>3. We as service judges, had an insight into the constitution and the application. A great learning experience.</p> <p>4. As a judge elevated from service, it was a great learning experience for me on constitutional provisions.</p> <p>5. 1. Discussion with other participants about practical problems; 2. Some elucidation of legal principles; 3. Getting broader &amp; practical views on some laws.</p> <p>6. It is like a refresher programme.</p> <p>7. To achieve excellence; Speedy justice.</p> <p>8. Discussions were very useful.</p> <p>9. An informative programme; A great opportunity of having a peep into the grey area.</p> <p>10. 1. Basic features of the constitution; 2. Checks &amp; Balances- Separation of power; 3. Theories of judicial review.</p> <p>11. Got new vision insight on some constitutional aspects.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Enhancement of knowledge.</p> <p>15. 1. Theories of judicial review; 2. Court management; 3. The art of hearing.</p> <p>16. Knowledge, guidance, updated.</p> <p>17. It opens our horizons towards various nuances which are there in this field and increases our ability to find clarity to implement and interpret law.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Practical elements of “hearing”; Basic features were clearly explained; Judicial review.</p>
---	--

	20. Participant did not comment.
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. All</p> <p>2. Each and every programme was beneficial but having to deal with writ matters, sessions on fundamental rights, basic structure separation of powers and art of hearing most useful.</p> <p>3. The experience of the judges and the senior lawyers in the application of the institution.</p> <p>4. <b>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights and Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours</b>– because very useful to my work.</p> <p>5. <b>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: Court Management and Session 3: Information and Communication Technology in Courts</b>- Resource persons were clear in their experience and gave time to interact.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. All</p> <p>8. 1. <b>Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; 2. Session 5: Separation of Powers; 3. Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights.</b></p> <p>9. <b>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: Court Management and Session 3: Information and Communication Technology in Courts and Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review.</b></p> <p>10. Advocate Sujit Ghosh's discourse.</p> <p>11. <b>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights</b>- It is elaborate, informative &amp; useful.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. All the parts.</p> <p>15. <b>Session 2: Court Management and Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review.</b></p> <p>16. All programme.</p> <p>17. <b>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights</b>- the practical application in every day work.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Court management; The art of hearing.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p>
c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. NA</p> <p>2. Court managers, appointment and digitized management of high courts etc. Being a very junior judge such administrative issues are not relevant at this stage.</p> <p>3. None of it could be termed as not useful or least useful.</p> <p>4. Information and communication technology in courts- because I am not a portfolio judge.</p> <p>5. <b>Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 5: Separation of Powers; Session 6: Allocation of Legislative Powers- The Federal Architecture</b>- No very relevant for</p>

	<p>working judges. <i>Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights</i> and <i>Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours</i>– were although satisfactory.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Art of hearing.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. None.</p> <p>10. Court management.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. No part.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. None.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Computerization/Communication technology; Not very useful for daily court work.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. To hold more and more sessions on current and relevant topic as has been held in this conference.</p> <p>2. Very satisfactory. An institute with great vision and opportunity to venture into the areas of academics.</p> <p>3. The programmes are well organized and were useful I do not think it could have been better.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. For judge just theoretical sessions are not very relevant. There should be more time allotted to the participants to speak.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. This programme should be at least after 6 months of working in the courts.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. More practical examples be given, some more areas particularly the criminal side should also be dealt with.</p> <p>10. No suggestion to make.</p> <p>11. The programme is excellent. Only suggestion- Kindly see that first day commences at 10:30 instead of 10:00 so that participants can arrive by overnight travel &amp; would save our earlier working day. The said time can be adjusted in the evening.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. None.</p> <p>17. By providing the reading material on subject in advance.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Kindly include more problem oriented/content discussion on daily issues that arise and solutions.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---